

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 28/2017**

Mr. Mahesh M.Kerkar  
Pawanwada Keri,  
Pernem Goa

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. First Appellate Authority,  
Director of Transport(North),  
1<sup>st</sup> floor, Junta House,  
Panaji Goa.

2. Public Information Officer,  
Dy. Director of Administration,  
Directorate of Transport,  
1<sup>st</sup> floor, Junta House,  
Panaji Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 08/03/2017**

**Decided on: 18/07/2017**

## **ORDER**

1. The Appellant Shri Mahesh Kerkar by his application dated 20/10/16 filed u/s 6 (1) of the right to information Act, 2005 sought certain information as stated therein in the said application from the PIO (HQ), office of the Directorate of transport , Panaji Goa .
2. The said application was then forwarded to respective offices by the PIO (HQ) u/s 6 (3) of RTI Act ,2005 thereby requesting them to furnish the information directly to the applicant
3. It is the case of the Applicant that PIO of Pernem and Mapusa provided him information ,however since he did not get information from other offices , he preferred 1<sup>st</sup> appeal on 10/11/16 before the Respondent NO.1 .
4. The respondent No.1 FAA did not hear him nor disposed the said appeal within the time stipulated under the Act,

5. Being aggrieved by the action of Respondents , the Appellant then approached this commission by way of second appeal filed u/s 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 on 28/2/17 thereby seeking prayer for directions to the Respondent NO.2 PIO for furnishing him the complete and correct information as sought by him vide his application dated 20/10/16 and for invoking Penal provisions against the Respondents .
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission , the Appellant was present in person .The PIO of Panajim office Shri Balchandra Sawant , PIO of enforcement Shri Sandeep Dessai and PIO of Bicholim Shri Girish Dalvi were present during hearing who furnished the required information to the appellant .
7. The Appellant then submitted that he is satisfied with the information furnished to him and requested to waive the prayer of penalty . Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of Appeal .
8. In view of the submissions and endorsement made by the appellant , I find no reason to proceed with the Appeal .
9. Appeal disposed accordingly , proceedings stands closed  
Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-  
**(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa

